

1  
2  
3  
4  
5                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6                   WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
7                   AT SEATTLE

8                   LAKHAN JHA and MINAKSHI  
9                   KUMARI,

10                  Plaintiffs,

11                  v.  
12                  CHICAGO TITLE INSURANCE  
13                  COMPANY,

14                  Defendant.

15                  CASE NO. 2:23-cv-00584

16                  ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS'  
17                  MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
18                  OVER-LENGTH BRIEF AND  
19                  GRANTING DEFENDANTS LEAVE  
20                  TO FILE RESPONSE TO  
21                  PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR  
22                  RECONSIDERATION

23                  This matter is before the Court on Plaintiffs' motion for leave to file an  
overlength brief in connection with their motion seeking reconsideration of the  
Court's recent summary judgment order. Dkt. Nos. 69, 70.

24                  Although “[m]otions seeking approval to file an over-length motion or brief  
25                  are disfavored,” the Court will entertain such a motion due to the complexity and  
26                  length of the underlying summary judgment motions. LCR 7(f). Plaintiffs requested  
27                  leave to submit a 3,462 words brief (in excess of the 2,100-word limit allowed for  
28                  Motions for Reconsideration under LCR 7(e)(1)). The Court finds that there is good  
29                  cause to permit Plaintiffs to file an over-length brief.

For the reasons stated above, the Court GRANTS Plaintiffs' motion for leave to file an over-length brief. Dkt. No. 70.

The Court also authorizes Defendant to file a response to Plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration of up to 3,462 words within 14 days of this Order. Plaintiff may not file a reply.

Dated this 5th day of December, 2023.



Jamal N. Whitehead  
United States District Judge